

FORM A  
DEPARTMENT PERFORMANCE ACCOMPLISHMENT  
FY 2016

DEPARTMENT/AGENCY: Office of the Presidential Adviser on the Peace Process

MFOs AND PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (1)	DEPARTMENT FY 2015 ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENT (2)	DEPARTMENT FY 2016 TARGET (3)	RESPONSIBLE BUREAUS/OFFICES (4)	DEPARTMENT FY 2016 ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENT (5)	ACCOMPLISHMENT RATE (6)	REMARKS (7)
<b>Major Final Outputs (MFOs) / Operations</b>						
<b>MFO 1: Technical Advisory and Support Services on the Comprehensive Peace Process</b>						
<b>2016 Budget: 238,315,000</b>						
<b>Peace tables with the GPH consensus on the negotiating framework / agenda</b>						
Performance Indicator 1: Number of peace tables with GPH consensus on the negotiating framework/agenda	.9	1	GPH-CNN Secretariat	1	100%	
Performance Indicator 2: Strategic policies <sup>1</sup> and Broadly-supported negotiations framework/agenda	90%	95%		95%	100%	
<b>Peace tables with GPH consensus on the implementation of agreements</b>						
Performance Indicator 3: Number of peace tables with commitments implemented and completed	3.75	4	GPH-MILF Implementing Panel Secretariat; MNLF Concerns Unit; Peace Accords Monitoring Unit	3.72	93%	<p><b>(Low rate due to the non-passage of the Bangsamoro Basic Law)</b> The committee level deliberations on the proposed BBL commenced in both Houses of Congress in the same month. From September 2014 to early 2015, numerous public hearings were held not only in Manila but in different cities and towns in Luzon, Visayas and Mindanao to bring the draft law under detailed scrutiny. However, the Mamasapano incident in January 2015 led to the suspension of committee deliberations in both Houses. The Mamasapano incident derailed the legislative track for the BBL's passage.</p> <p>Both Houses of Congress submitted their committee reports on the proposed BBL and filed their respective substitute bills.</p>
Performance Indicator 4: Coherence of government agencies in delivery of commitments	93.75%	95%		92%	96.84%	

<sup>1</sup> *Strategic policies* are set of principles and associated guidelines; including programs or spending priorities; can also be understood as political, management, financial, and administrative mechanisms arranged to reach explicit goals which are produced by OPAPP units (independently or in partnership with external stakeholders).


MFOs AND PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (1)	DEPARTMENT FY 2015 ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENT (2)	DEPARTMENT FY 2016 TARGET (3)	RESPONSIBLE BUREAUS/OFFICES (4)	DEPARTMENT FY 2016 ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENT (5)	ACCOMPLISHMENT RATE (6)	REMARKS (7)
						<p>On 03 February 2016, the Senate deliberations on the BBL never resumed until they adjourned for their last recess. In the House of Representatives, lack of quorum continued to hinder the deliberations on the BBL. This resulted to a total of only three (3) session days in which the BBL was tackled as part of the agenda. Thus, on 03 February 2016, the 16th Congress adjourned for its last recess without passing the proposed BBL.</p> <p>The non-passage of the Bangsamoro Basic Law has affected the full implementation of the activities under the Normalization Program.</p> <p>The ratification of the law is part of the overall agreement between the Government of the Philippines and the Moro Islamic Liberation Front peace panels and several activities under the Normalization program were dependent on the passage of the law and the agreed timelines. When the 2016 targets (decommissioning and provision of socio-economic packages for combatants) for the GPH-MILF Peace Process were identified, both panels were expecting that the BBL will be approved by Congress by February 2016.</p> <p>The change in administration has also affected the progress of the activities of the peace table. Fresh directives from the incoming administration were awaited. In the interim, the different mechanisms have conducted preparatory activities and assessment meetings to further enhance operations.</p>
<b>Convergence of government agencies in the delivery of services in conflict-affected areas improved</b>						
<b>2016 Budget: 388,367,000</b>						
Performance Indicator 5: Number of agencies and bodies capacitated on conflict sensitivity and	76	77	PAMANA-NPMO; Rehabilitation and Development Unit	77	100%	

MFOs AND PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (1)	DEPARTMENT FY 2015 ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENT (2)	DEPARTMENT FY 2016 TARGET (3)	RESPONSIBLE BUREAUS/OFFICES (4)	DEPARTMENT FY 2016 ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENT (5)	ACCOMPLISHMENT RATE (6)	REMARKS (7)
peace building						
Performance Indicator 6: Enabled relevant agencies with conflict-sensitive and timely actions on peace building	100%	95%		95%	100%	
STO <sup>(2)</sup>						
<b>2016 Budget: 141,231,000</b>						
a. QMS Certification or Operations Manual	91.43%	TBD	Finance and Administrative Services	Non-Compliant	Non-Compliant	<p>Along with a change in national leadership in mid-2016, a change in the Management of the Office of the Presidential Adviser on the Peace Process also ensued. The thrusts, priorities and strategic directions of the new Administration led OPAPP to expand its areas of work and actual operations on the ground. OPAPP is finalizing its organizational structure to ensure that OPAPP will be capacitated and organized in a manner that will enable it to fulfil its functions and responsibilities in support of the Duterte administration's Six-Point Peace and Development Agenda.</p> <p>Given that OPAPP was in a state of transition for the second semester of 2016 up to the present, it could not as yet establish a Quality Management System (QMS) for at least one core process.</p> <p>However, there are actions that have been undertaken in FY 2016 in preparation for OPAPP's organization of its system, structure and core processes that will enable the establishment of a Quality Management System (QMS) for at least one of its core processes. These include the conduct of assessment and strategic planning sessions to develop its 2017-2022 Strategic Plan and Results Framework and continuing capacity building for its staff. OPAPP is also working with Philippines Australia Human Resource and Organisational Development Facility (PAHRODF) to implement the project Instituting a Strategic Human Resource Management and Development</p>


MFOs AND PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (1)	DEPARTMENT FY 2015 ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENT (2)	DEPARTMENT FY 2016 TARGET (3)	RESPONSIBLE BUREAUS/OFFICES (4)	DEPARTMENT FY 2016 ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENT (5)	ACCOMPLISHMENT RATE (6)	REMARKS (7)
						System (SHRMD) in the OPAPP (May – November 2017). The project shall produce an enhanced OPAPP competency model in line with the new strategic plan of OPAPP; capacitated HR and OPAPP Officers and staff able to spearhead and implement SHRMD activities; an improved OPAPP Strategic HR Systems and Processes packaged into a business manual; and, an implementation workplan and change management plan for the installation of SHRMD systems and processes.
b. STO Indicator for the priority of the agency head: Effective and efficient delivery of the requirements to support the agency's presidential priority programs/projects ensured						
b.1 Number of interventions conducted to support the requirements of the agency's presidential priority programs/projects	82	170	Office of the Secretary; Executive Offices, Finance and Administrative Services, Peace Policy Unit, Conflict Prevention and Management Unit, Communications and Public Affairs Unit	170	100%	
b.2 Percentage of interventions conducted that are rated as good or better	98%	95%		98%	103%	
GASS <sup>(3)</sup>						
2016 Budget: (Shared with STO)						
<b>A. BUR</b>						
A1. Obligations BUR	94.14%	100%		77%	77%	The non-passage of the Bangsamoro Basic Law which delayed the implementation of the normalization program of the Comprehensive Agreement on the Bangsamoro resulted in the low rate of budget utilization.
A2. Disbursement BUR	91%	100%		80%	80%	
<b>B. Submission of PFM to COA and DBM</b>						
B1. BFAR	100%	90%	Finance and Administrative Services	Compliant	Compliant	
B2. Report on Ageing Cash Advance	100%	90%		Compliant	Compliant	
B3. COA Financial Reports	100%	90%		Compliant	Compliant	
<b>C. APCPI</b>	N/A	Compliant		Compliant	Compliant	

MFOs AND PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (1)	DEPARTMENT FY 2015 ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENT (2)	DEPARTMENT FY 2016 TARGET (3)	RESPONSIBLE BUREAUS/OFFICES (4)	DEPARTMENT FY 2016 ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENT (5)	ACCOMPLISHMENT RATE (6)	REMARKS (7)
D. Submission of APP	Compliant	Compliant		Compliant	Compliant	

Prepared by:

  
PAMELA ANN S. PADILLA-SALVAN  
 Director IV, Planning and Compliance Unit

31 May 2017  
 Date

  
YESHTER DONN P. BACCAY  
 Director IV, Finance and Administrative Services

31 May 2017  
 Date

Approved by:

  
JESUS G. DUREZA  
 Presidential Adviser on the Peace Process

31 May 2017  
 Date