



**INTER-AGENCY TASK FORCE ON THE HARMONIZATION OF
NATIONAL GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE MONITORING, INFORMATION AND REPORTING SYSTEMS**
(Administrative Order No. 25 S. 2011)

October 13, 2017

JESUS G. DUREZA

Secretary
Office of the Presidential Adviser on the Peace Process
Ortigas Center, Pasig City

Dear Secretary Dureza:

We are pleased to inform you that the **Office of the Presidential Adviser on the Peace Process (OPAPP)** is **ELIGIBLE** for the grant of Performance-Based Bonus (PBB) for Fiscal Year 2016. However, considering that OPAPP has one (1) unmet target due to controllable factors, the unit/s (including the highest ranking official) most responsible for the agency's non-accomplishment of the said deficiency should be **isolated and excluded** from the FY 2016 PBB ranking. The summary of the final IATF assessment result is attached.

To complete the PBB process, may we remind your office to publish the OPAPP **MFO Accountability Report Card (MARC-1)** and **Management Accountability Report Card (MARC-2)** in your website or official publication. Please coordinate with the AO 25 Technical Secretariat for the finalization of the said report cards.

Furthermore, please be advised that the evaluation of agency accomplishments for FY 2017 will be stricter. As such, agencies should meet their 2017 GAA targets and take necessary actions to accelerate procurement issues and other factors to ensure full utilization of approved budgets.

Thank you very much for your usual support.

Sincerely yours,

BENJAMIN E. DIOKNO

Secretary, Department of Budget and Management,
and Chair, AO 25 Inter Agency Task Force



**INTER-AGENCY TASK FORCE ON THE HARMONIZATION OF
NATIONAL GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE MONITORING, INFORMATION AND REPORTING SYSTEMS**
(Administrative Order No. 25 S. 2011)

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENTIAL ADVISER ON THE PEACE PROCESS (OPAPP)	
Eligibility Requirements	Final Assessment
Good Governance Conditions	
1. Transparency Seal System of Rating and Ranking	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Compliant • Complaint
2. PhilGEPS Posting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Compliant
3. Citizen's/Service Charter	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Compliant
STO and GASS	
1. Submission of APP	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Compliant
2. Submission of APCPI	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Compliant
3. QMS Requirement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Non-compliant per DBM-SPIB <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - There is no evidence in the agency's Transparency Seal page of an ISO 9001 certification or a complete documentation of its QMS for at least one (1) frontline service or core process. - Posted only certain Manuals of Operations (Operations Manual and Manual on Financial Management System) are posted in Transparency Seal, but could not be viewed.
4. Submission of Financial Report	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Compliant
5. Submission of Report on Ageing of Cash Advances	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Compliant
6. Submission of Budget and Financial Accountability Reports (BFARs)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Compliant
FORM A (MFO and BUR)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Met all MFO and its 2nd STO performance indicator targets per OP and DBM report dated 8/30/2017 and 7/1/2017. • Did not meet the targets for PI 3: "Number of peace tables with commitments implemented and completed" under MFO 1- Peace table with GPH consensus on the negotiating framework/agenda-Peace Table with GPH consensus on the negotiating framework/agenda. Actual accomplishment was 3.72 peace tables out of the 4 peace tables targets (or 93% accomplishment rate). • Did not meet the target for PI 4: "Coherence of government agencies in the delivery of commitments" under MFO 1- Peace table with GPH consensus on the negotiating framework/agenda- Peace Table with GPH



**INTER-AGENCY TASK FORCE ON THE HARMONIZATION OF
NATIONAL GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE MONITORING, INFORMATION AND REPORTING SYSTEMS**
(Administrative Order No. 25 S. 2011)

	<p>consensus on the negotiating framework/agenda. Actual accomplishment was 92% out of the 95% target (or 97% accomplishment rate).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Did not meet 100% targets for Obligations and Disbursements BUR under GASS. Actual accomplishments were 98% and 99.30%, respectively. • The justifications of OPPAP for non-accomplishment of its targets for MFO 1: PI 3 and PI 4 and its Obligations and Disbursements BUR were considered to be due to uncontrollable factors per DBM-BMB-D report on 7/1/2017.
OVERALL ASSESSMENT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Based on the results of validation, OPPAP satisfied the requirements and is eligible for the grant of FY 2016 PBB. However, the unit/s (including the highest ranking official) most responsible for the agency's non-compliance with the posting of ISO QMS requirement should be isolated and excluded from FY 2016 PBB ranking.